

Clerk File No. 310989

# The City of Seattle - Legislative Department

Clerk File sponsored by: *Boyer*

Clerk File No. 310989  
2008 and 2009 Citizen Observer Report of  
the Seattle Police Department's Firearms  
Review Board.

Committee Action:		
Date	Recommendation	Vote
9/23/10	MSP to accept	3-0-0 TB, SB, BH

Related Legislation File: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Introduced and Referred: 8-2-10	To: (committee): Public Safety and Education
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date of Final Action: 9.27	Disposition:

This file is complete and ready for presentation to Full Council. \_\_\_\_\_

Full Council Action:		
Date	Decision	Vote
9.27.10	Filed	8-0
		Excused: TR

7-29-10  
**Date Filed with City Clerk**  
Emilia M. Sanchez  
**By** \_\_\_\_\_

# CF No.310989

Title:2008 and 2009 Citizen Observer Reports of the  
Seattle Police Department's Firearms Review Board.

Date Filed with City Clerk:20100729

**SCHROETER  
GOLDMARK  
& BENDER**

Email: roe@sgb-law.com

July 20, 2010

Hon. Mayor Mike McGinn  
Seattle City Hall  
P.O. Box 34025  
Seattle, WA 98124-4025

John Diaz, Chief of Police  
Seattle Police Department  
P.O. Box 34986  
Seattle, WA 98124-4986

500 Central Building  
810 Third Avenue  
Seattle, WA 98104

Phone (206) 622-8000  
Toll free (800) 809-2234  
Fax (206) 682-2305

Attorneys

Adam J. Berger  
Joseph A. Campagna  
Martin S. Garfinkel  
Kathy Goater  
M. Lorena González  
James D. Hailey  
Lindsay Halm  
Kristin Houser  
Anne Kysar  
Angela Macey-Cushman  
Janet L. Rice  
Jeffery P. Robinson  
Rebecca J. Roe  
Colette Tvedt  
Sandra E. Widlan

Of Counsel:  
Croil Anderson  
Mark A. Burke  
William Rutzick

Since 1969

Peter S. Holmes  
City Attorney  
City of Seattle  
P.O. Box 94769  
Seattle, WA 98124-4769

Monica Martinez Simmons  
Seattle City Clerk  
City of Seattle  
P.O. Box 94728  
Seattle, WA 98124-4728

Richard Conlin, President  
Seattle City Council  
P.O. Box 34025  
Seattle, WA 98124-4025

Re: Firearms Review Board – Citizen Observer Report

Dear Mayor McGinn, Chief Diaz, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Conlin and Ms. Simmons:

Attached please find my Citizen Observer Reports for 2008 and 2009.

Very truly yours,

  
REBECCA J. ROE

## CITIZEN OBSERVER 2008

### 1. Ordinance Requirements

Ordinance 118482 (the "Ordinance"), adopted by the City Council on February 3, 1997, created the Citizen Observer (the "CO") position on the Firearms Review Board (the "FRB"). In 2000, Ordinance 120203 created positions for two bargaining representative observers and an alternate CO. The CO is directed to prepare an annual report of her observations about the proceedings of the FRB. The CO report is to generally address the FRB proceedings attended, whether a finding of justified or unjustified discharge of firearm was made by the FRB and a summary of issues, problems and trends noted by the CO. The CO is to make recommendations for any additional staff training or procedural or policy changes she determines appropriate. The Ordinance requires that the CO not report any recommendations concerning any particular police officer or information leading to the identity of a specific incident.

### 2. Hearings Held

I attended one FRB in 2008. The second was attended by the alternate, Suzanne Adams.

**FRB #08-02** involved a multiple unit chase through downtown Seattle, midmorning on a weekday. Two robbers fled a West Seattle bank scene. One bailed out on Yesler and the other jumped in the driver seat and continued flight. The suspect was trapped between 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue on Spring Street when his car stalled. He refused to show his hands and was waving a gun around inside the car. Four officers from two agencies fired 17 shots at the suspect, with 10 to 11 rounds fired by one SPD officer, 4 by another, and a single shot by both an SPD sergeant and a King County Sheriff's officer. The suspect was seriously injured. There was a civilian in a parked vehicle who received minor injuries from broken glass. The investigation was conducted by SPD homicide detectives and was thorough and professional.

### 3. Procedural Changes in the Past Year. There were no changes in the year 2008.

### 4. Issues, Problems and Trends.

The single FRB I attended during 2008 exposed the challenge of a daytime, crowded street chase of a dangerous fleeing felon. Because he was armed and was engaging in dangerous driving in crowded downtown streets, officers were justified in making sure he did not drive away. Given that his car was not working, they could have waited it out without risking danger to him and others by firing. However, his refusal to drop the weapon, and the quickness with which he could have shot officers or civilians, justified the decision to fire.

Too many officers joined the chase and were involved in cornering the suspect. The risk of innocent bystanders being hit was high. I believe there should be a mechanism to call off officers when so many converge on the same scene, and so recommended. (I speculated that everyone wanted to get in on the act; at least one person there acknowledged that phenomena.)



## CITIZEN OBSERVER 2009

### 1. Ordinance Requirements

Ordinance 118482 (the "Ordinance"), adopted by the City Council on February 3, 1997, created the Citizen Observer (the "CO") position on the Firearms Review Board (the "FRB"). In 2000, Ordinance 120203 created positions for two bargaining representative observers and an alternate CO. The CO is directed to prepare an annual report of her observations about the proceedings of the FRB. The CO report is to generally address the FRB proceedings attended, whether a finding of justified or unjustified discharge of firearm was made by the FRB and a summary of issues, problems and trends noted by the CO. The CO is to make recommendations for any additional staff training or procedural or policy changes she determines appropriate. The Ordinance requires that the CO not report any recommendations concerning any particular police officer or information leading to the identity of a specific incident.

### 2. Hearings Attended

I attended six FRB's in 2009. Three others were attended by the alternate CO, Suzanne Adams. I include Ms. Adams reports on the chart of proceedings attached to this document.

There were a high number of cases reviewed this year. Tragically, this year saw the murder of one Seattle and four Lakewood police officers in about a six-week time period. All those murders had ramifications for the FRB.

**FRB 09-04** involved the shooting of a suicidal male who barricaded himself in an apartment with his 20-month-old child. Officers believed he was armed based on his own statements to that effect, as well as his threats to "blow away" officers. He was suicidal because of the death of his girlfriend a few weeks prior. Officers tried to talk him into surrendering for several minutes. He was shot when officers became increasingly convinced he was not going to surrender himself or the child, feared a murder-suicide and were in a position to fire a shot that did not risk harm to the child. His injuries were minor.

**FRB 09-05** was the shooting of a fleeing serial bank robber who was trapped in his truck by multiple officers at Greenwood and Holman Road. At the time of the shooting, SPD and the FBI were actively looking for the suspect, who had just robbed a Shoreline bank that afternoon. The individual being sought was a suspect in three robberies within the preceding week. He had recently been released from prison where he had been incarcerated for bank robbery.

At the intersection of Holman Road and Greenwood, the suspect rammed a police car to try and escape converging officers. An officer shot four times into the suspect's truck to stop him. The suspect, wounded in both arms, continued through the intersection, hit another car, was stopped and arrested. A father and child in another car received minor injuries.

**FRB 09-06** involved officers who observed a vehicle occupied by four black men in a parking lot at 5:15 p.m. at 19<sup>th</sup> and Jackson Street. After the officers turned into the lot to talk to the occupants, they observed the front and back license plates did not match. They thought the car might be stolen. Officers approached the vehicle occupants who refused to cooperate. One got out of the car and wouldn't follow officers' commands to get back in. Finally, he did, and the car started to leave the lot. First an officer tased the driver; the driver accelerated in response. The officer then positioned himself in front of the car, then shot multiple times into the moving car. The vehicle left the parking lot and was abandoned by all suspects a short distance away. A gun was found in the street on Jackson. The driver sustained a bullet wound to his hand.

**FRB 09-07** involved the shooting at Christopher Monfort as he fled from the scene at 29<sup>th</sup> and Yesler after murdering an SPD officer. The surviving officer fired 10 rounds at a fleeing car. The officer reports having stopped firing when the car appeared too far away. Bullets penetrated a parked car and a nearby house. Fortunately, no one was injured by stray bullets though a citizen was walking her dog in the area.

**FRB 09-08** involved the shooting of Christopher Monfort at a Tukwila apartment complex. Police went to a Tukwila apartment complex to take custody of a suspect vehicle in the Officer Brenton shooting. Unbeknownst to officers, Monfort was there. He attempted to shoot an SPD officer but the weapon did not discharge. Monfort was then shot by three officers and seriously injured.

**FRB 09-09** was the fatal shooting of the suspect in the murder of four Lakewood police officers. The suspect was shot several times when he approached the officer's car at about 2:15 a.m. The officer immediately recognized him as the suspect in the Lakewood murders because of a mole on his cheek. He told him several times to show his hands, and shot several times as the suspect fled, initially undeterred by the fact he was wounded. The suspect died at the scene.

All firearm discharges were found justified.

3. Procedural Changes in the Past Year.

There were no changes to the procedures of the FRB.

4. Issues, Problems and Trends

**Trends:**

When I started with the FRB in 2005, I understood officers were trained to avoid firing into cars at all, let alone moving cars. Despite the policy, several firearm discharges each year involve moving vehicles.

Use of Force Section 6.240 VIII "Discharge of Firearms From or At a Moving Vehicle" remains unchanged from the 2000 version in the 2010 update.

The policy acknowledges the increased risk to officers and citizens from firing at a moving vehicle because “accuracy is severely impacted”; and “. . . firing will have very little impact on stopping the vehicle . . .” Disabling the driver will “most likely only result in an uncontrolled vehicle . . .” and “the likelihood of injury to occupants who may not be involved in a crime is increased.”

Compliance with the policy permits firing into a moving vehicle only under limited enumerated circumstance **and** when all other reasonable alternatives have been exhausted or deemed unacceptable. The limited occasions for firing into a vehicle are when **deadly physical force is being used against the officer** or another by means other than the moving vehicle, **or the moving vehicle poses an imminent and ongoing threat of substantial physical harm** and there is no reasonable means to escape.

Further, VIII A.3 states: “Officers shall not intentionally place themselves in a vehicle’s path, and they shall attempt to move out of the way rather than discharge their firearm.”

In my view the weapons discharge in 09-06 violated this policy. These were four men sitting in a car on a July afternoon. Only after officers decided to approach the vehicle did they discover non-matched plates that may have meant the car was stolen. (It wasn’t.) The officer had no reason to believe he was in imminent threat of harm until he moved to block the car from leaving – a violation of policy. The dangers posited by the policy were realized as the firing into the car was ineffective and harmed someone the officers did not know to be involved in any criminal activity, much less dangerous felony conduct.

The contrast between the circumstances of the firing into the vehicle in 09-05 – to stop and apprehend an armed bank robber racing through city streets and ramming police cars with a Dodge pickup –and the 09-06 firing which was unwarranted and ineffective, illustrate the spectrum of conduct to which the policy is applied. The policy is sound. Officers need to be thoroughly trained to follow it, and the FRB must enforce it.

#### **Recommendations:**

- (i) I have observed a trend away from closely scrutinizing the actions of the shooting officers. FRB’s have been less probing as to the officers’ reasons for firing and consideration of alternatives to firing. Panel members are not asking officers for their knowledge of the Discharge policy and how they see their shooting as complying with the policy. The camaraderie surrounding an officer involved in a shooting is understandable. However, it may erode the oversight function of the FRB. A panel member apologized to one officer for the “Monday morning quarterbacking” by the FRB-- when reviewing the facts to determine whether the weapon should have been fired is the purpose of the FRB.

One possible solution is to have more than one citizen observer present. The CO is there to instill public confidence that the process does not rubber stamp the officers action.” Perhaps having additional non-SPD employed observers can help balance the dynamic .



- (ii) The CO should be present during deliberations, in addition to the case presentations. The inability to participate in the discussion of specific policies and how well they were followed limits the CO's effectiveness. This is especially a problem when coupled with the trend away from probing witness testimony during the presentations.
- (iii) The CO should be provided the FRB's report, and an opportunity to comment, prior to the report being presented to the Chief of Police. This opportunity to comment provides the Chief with a different perspective, if there is one, in a timely manner, and before he or she approves the recommendation. This is particularly important if the CO remains excluded from deliberations.
- (iv) Several officers complained about the lack of communication on timing and expectations regarding their return to duty. The Department needs to be clear about what needs to happen before the officer can return to duty and the timetable for those matters being accomplished. The officers should be updated when changes to the timetable occur.
- (v) There is a serious problem with radio transmissions that endangered officers in two of these situations. I am sure the Department appreciates the problem, but it really needs a remedy.

C.O. ROE:

Number & Chair	Subject	Date of Incident	Date of FRB	Date of FRB Final Report	FRB Finding
09-04 Asst. Ch. Reed	Suicidal Caucasian male – in his apartment	05/22/09	06/17/09	07/20/09	Justified
09-05 Asst. Ch. Pugel	White male armed robber driving a Dodge Ram off-road vehicle.	07/01/09	08/05/09	08/31/09	Justified
09-06 Dep. Ch. Metz	4 black men in a mini-mart parking lot at 5:15 p.m. at 19 <sup>th</sup> & Jackson.	07/06/09	08/06/09	09/02/09	Justified
09-07 Asst. Chief Reed	Officer fired at a car leaving scene of 29 <sup>th</sup> & Yesler where the suspect had murdered an SPD officer.	10/31/09	12/17/09	12/18/09	Justified
09-08 Asst Ch. Sanford	Officers discharged weapons at a suspect in the 09-07 murder who was armed & shooting at them.	11/06/09	12/10/09	No report as person shot awaiting aggravated murder trial	
09-09 Dep. Ch. Kimerer	Officer shot man approaching his parked patrol car who he recognized as the murderer of Lakewood officers.	12/01/09	12/22/09		Justified

**C.O. Adams:**

<b>Number &amp; Chair</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of Incident</b>	<b>Date of FRB</b>	<b>Date of FRB Final Report</b>	<b>FRB Finding</b>
09-01	Officers shot at man who pointed a rifle at them.	01/15/09			
09-02	Officers shot man advancing toward them with a knife after tasking did not deter.	01/30/09			Justified
09-03	Officer off-duty, in an out-of-state bar, shot at a man in a multi-person melee.	03/31/09			Justified

Incident #09-016462  
FRB Citizen Observer, Suzanne Adams  
Report FRB#09-02

01/30/2009

In reviewing this incident the suspect was tased twice in an attempt to stop him from advancing toward officers with a knife. The suspect tore the taser darts from himself and, ignoring the shouts from officers to drop the knife, he kept advancing. Officers Beatty, Stolt and Stevens fired their SPD issued weapons at the suspect. They were justified in their use of deadly force, most likely saving the life of a fellow officer who was within a few feet of the advancing suspect.

FRB #09-01  
Citizen Observer, Suzanne Adams  
Report

01/15/2009

Officers responded to a shots fired call. This incident is a classic incident of team policing, with officers responding to the scene and immediately taking their places surrounding and covering the area from which shots were reported. The suspect came out of the door, carrying a rifle with a bayonet attached, and was surprised at the presence of the officers. He was ordered to drop the rifle, instead, he brought the rifle up to a fire position, pointing it directly at Officer Waldorf and also within a few feet of him. Officers Elias and Waldorf fired their SPD issued weapons, stopping the suspect. This incident is justified in my mind, and is a tribute to the training the officers received.

FRB#09-03  
Citizen Observer, Suzanne Adams  
Report

3/31/2009

Officer Smith, off duty, accompanied by several fellow off duty officers was surrounded in a biker bar in Sturgis, South Dakota. Officer Smith was attempting to leave the location and was grabbed and hit by two men, who turned out to be Hells Angels members. While being choked, Officer Smith recovered his weapon and fired it at the nearest man assaulting him. This shooting was justified in my mind. One question was raised, and resolved at the FRB, and that was the presence of signs in the bar stating that no guns were allowed in the bar, that were observed in many of the crime scene photos. It turns out that the signs were not posted at the time of the incident, and that they were put up as an after-thought by the establishment and before the crime scene processed the scene. Officer Smith broke no rules in my opinion and the shooting is justified.

FRB #08-01  
Citizen Observer, Suzanne Adams  
Report

07/17/2008

Two Detectives, on duty in an unmarked vehicle equipped with emergency lights, began following a suspect vehicle that was running from the scene of a bank robbery. They observed the car turn into a long driveway and go out of site to a dead end at the shore of Lake Washington. The Detectives reacted properly, positioning themselves at the only place where the suspect could exit, and the suspect drove his vehicle directly at them. The detectives fired their SPD issued weapons at the suspect, the suspect intentionally drove his vehicle into the detective's vehicle. The detectives then arrested the suspect, who was not seriously injured. I believe that the shooting was justified. There is a policy (6.240) that addresses shooting at a moving vehicle, which is justified in a case such as this when the moving vehicle poses an imminent threat to the officer, and I believe that the detectives did not violate this policy.